



Patent
Attorney's Docket No. 012777-039

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of)

Kenichi NAKAMURA et al.)

Application No.: 09/671,634)

Filed: September 28, 2000)

For: ANTI-REFLECTION FILM,)
POLARIZING PLATE COMPRISING)
THE SAME, AND IMAGE DISPLAY)
DEVICE USING THE ANTI-)
REFLECTION FILM OR THE)
POLARIZING PLATE)

Group Art Unit: 2871

Examiner: James A. Dudek

Confirmation No: 7050

#8
L. Range
4-16-03

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.111

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Date: April 11, 2003

RECEIVED
APR 14 2003
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Sir:

This election is filed in response to the Office Action dated March 14, 2003 (Paper No. 6). In the Office Action, the Examiner identified two distinct inventions. The Examiner required the Applicants, under 35 U.S.C. §121, to elect a single invention for prosecution. The two inventions identified by the Examiner are:

- I) Claims 1-24, drawn to an antireflect film; or
- II) Claims 25-40, drawn to a polarizer incorporating an antireflect film.

Pursuant to the Examiner's requirement, the Applicants elect Group I, directed to claims 1-24. It is understood that claims 25-40 will be withdrawn from prosecution. Applicants reserve the right to file divisional applications.

An earlier examination and favorable action on claims 1-24 are respectfully requested.

In the event this paper is not considered to be timely filed, Applicants hereby petition for an appropriate extension of time. The Commissioner is authorized to charge payment for any additional fees which may be required with respect to this paper to our Deposit Account No. 02-4800.

Respectfully submitted,

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.

By: 

Ellen Marcie Emas
Registration No. 32,131

P.O. Box 1404
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404
(703) 836-6620

Date: April 11, 2003